

# Multilingual A-maze: Generating Maze Experiments in Mandarin and Beyond Lisa Levinson<sup>1</sup>, Yizhi Tang<sup>2</sup>, Lucy Yu-Chuan Chiang<sup>1</sup>, Wei-Jie Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Sohee Chung<sup>1</sup> (<sup>1</sup>University of Michigan, <sup>2</sup>Columbia University)

### Aims

- Linguistically diversify the accessibility of automated alternative generation (A-maze) (Boyce, Futrell, and Levy 2020) for maze task experiments
- Especially provide generation for **Mandarin**, a challenging case
- Increase user accessibility to automated alternative generation tools

Unfamiliar with the maze task? See the rightmost panel!

## Background: Original A-maze

Rather than use a language model to predict high probability continuations, the original A-maze algorithm (Boyce, Futrell, and Levy 2020) selects for continuations that are significantly less probable than the stimuli continuation (according to a userdetermined threshold).

While this package has rapidly accelerated the adoption of the highly compelling Maze task, it only includes scripts that work with pretrained LSTMs for English and French and requires non-trivial setup of a local Python environment.

## Multilingual A-maze

We have re-implemented a subset of the algorithms designed for the original A-maze to adapt it in 3 dimensions.

- Broad range of languages, using Hugging Face models including BERT (currently) and (soon) GPT-2, with multilingual BERT (104 languages).
- 2. Mandarin version. Highly-resourced language, but orthography requires different tokenization (and thus a need for multicharacter alternative matching algorithm).
- 3. Web interface. Scripts shared as Google Colab notebooks that can be run via browser, without a local Python environment. This makes the software accessible to researchers with limited Python experience. Users can upload csv-format stimuli, specify parameters, run code cells, and download outputs. For multilingual maze, upload of a csv with frequency data also required.

https://github.com/UMWordLab/multilingual amaze

# Alternative Quality

Poor quality alternatives lead to participant selection of the alternative rather than target, and thus **experimental data loss**. This can be measured by assessing error (alternative selection) rates over uncorrected a-maze outputs.

So far we have tested the most recent version of the **uncorrected** outputs of the Mandarin implementation and compared to the same analysis conducted by Boyce, Futrell, and Levy (2020).

Here we are only concerned with accuracy, but the Mandarin stimuli were from Jäger et al. (2015), a study testing for subject-relative advantage.

23 (thus far) native Mandarin speakers recruited from the UM community completed an online experiment on a lab server based on the PCIbex (Zehr and Schwarz 2018) controller.

We calculated the percent of correct responses for each of the first 5 words, shown in Figure 1.

# Results







The accuracy results suggest that the Mandarin implementation of Multilingual Maze **performs comparably** to the original A-maze software, while also expanding application to a broader range of languages and researchers.

Boyce, Veronica, Richard Futrell, and F https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2019.104082 Forster, Kenneth I., Christine Guerre https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.1.163 Jäger, Lena, Zhong Chen, Qiang Li, Chien-Je (February): 97–120. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j</u> Witzel, Naoko, Jeffrey Witzel, and Kei https://doi.org/10.1007/s10936-011-9179-x.



### Maze Task

Choice of sentence continuation target vs. alternative.

focalized Highly incremental, task. Long RTs, no spillover. (Forster, Guerrera, and Elliot 2009; Witzel, Witzel, and Forster 2012)

A-maze "grammatical maze", where alternatives are valid words but poor fits for the sentence context (low cloze/high surprisal).

### Platform

+	
ch.google.com/drive/	1JY0AzRF5Q8g7bHlTRV43ibW
nb ☆	
untime Tools Help	All changes saved

Maze Alternative Generation for Mandarin

## Conclusion

### References

Boyce, Veronica, Richard Futrell, and Roger P. Levy. 2020. Journal of Memory and Language 111 (April): 104082
<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2019.104082</u> .
Forster, Kenneth I., Christine Guerrera, and Lisa Elliot. 2009. <i>Behavior Research Methods</i> 41 (1): 163–71
<u>https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.1.163</u> .
Jäger, Lena, Zhong Chen, Qiang Li, Chien-Jer Charles Lin, and Shravan Vasishth. 2015. Journal of Memory and Language 79-80
(February): 97–120. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2014.10.005</u> .
Witzel, Naoko, Jeffrey Witzel, and Kenneth Forster. 2012. Journal of Psycholinguistic Research 41 (2): 105–28
<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10936-011-9179-x</u> .
Zehr, Jérémy, and Florian Schwarz. 2018. PennController for Internet Based Experiments (IBEX). <u>https://osf.io/md832/</u> .